INSIGHTS-JOURNAL OF
LIFE AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

INSIGHTS-JLSS
Insights-Journal of Life and Social Sciences

HEALTH EQUITY IN TELEMEDICINE: ADDRESSING DISPARITIES IN DIGITAL HEALTHCARE ACCESS-A NARRATIVE REVIEW-S

Original Article

Akif Saeed Ch[1]*, Fouzia Pervaiz[2], Hammd Nawaz[3], Sadia Rafiq[4], Yasmeen Bibi[5], Wesam Taher Almagharbeh6, Muhammad Mobeen[7]
[1]Director R & D, Collaborative Care of Diseases (CCD), Faisalabad, Pakistan.
[2]Post RN, MS Health Care Management, Nursing officer, PAEC General Hospital Islamabad, Pakistan.
[3]Medical Officer, MMC, Sargodha, Pakistan.
[4]Lecturer, University of Management and Technology Lahore, Pakistan.
[5]Principal at The Next College of Nursing and Allied Health Sciences Multan, Pakistan.
[6]Assistant Professor, Faculty of Nursing, Medical and Surgical Nursing Department, University of Tabuk, Saudi Arabia.
[7]Medical Officer, Fauji Foundation Hospital Swabi Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan.
Corresponding Author: Akif Saeed Ch, Director R & D, Collaborative Care of Diseases (CCD), Faisalabad, Pakistan.drmuhammadakif8@gmail.com

Conflict of Interest: None

Grant Support & Financial Support: None

Acknowledgment: The authors acknowledge the contributions of researchers, healthcare professionals, and policymakers dedicated to advancing health equity in telemedicine. Special appreciation is extended to institutions and organizations advocating for digital health accessibility and supporting initiatives aimed at reducing healthcare disparities. The insights from previous studies have been instrumental in shaping this review, and gratitude is expressed to all researchers whose work has contributed to understanding the challenges and solutions related to digital health equity.

ABSTRACT

Background: Telemedicine has transformed healthcare delivery by enhancing accessibility and continuity of care, yet it has also exposed and exacerbated existing disparities in digital healthcare access. Socioeconomic status, digital literacy, geographic location, and racial and ethnic backgrounds significantly influence telemedicine utilization. Addressing these disparities is essential to achieving equitable healthcare outcomes, particularly for vulnerable populations disproportionately affected by barriers to digital health services.

Objective: This review examines the disparities in telemedicine access, identifies key barriers contributing to digital health inequities, and explores potential strategies to promote health equity in telemedicine.

Main Discussion Points: The review synthesizes current evidence on the impact of socioeconomic factors, racial and ethnic disparities, and geographic barriers on telemedicine utilization. It discusses technological and policy-related challenges, including broadband accessibility, insurance reimbursement inconsistencies, and digital literacy gaps. Additionally, the review highlights methodological limitations in existing studies, such as selection bias and lack of longitudinal data, which hinder the generalizability of findings. Potential solutions, including community-based digital literacy programs, standardized telehealth policies, and infrastructure expansion, are explored as strategies to mitigate disparities.

Conclusion: While telemedicine holds promise for improving healthcare accessibility, inequities in digital health access persist. Addressing these disparities requires targeted interventions at the clinical, policy, and research levels. Future studies should focus on long-term health outcomes and the effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing digital health disparities to ensure telemedicine serves as an inclusive and equitable healthcare solution.

Keywords: Telemedicine, Health Equity, Digital Health Disparities, Digital Literacy, Healthcare Access, Narrative Review

INTRODUCTION

Telemedicine has emerged as a pivotal innovation in healthcare delivery, particularly in response to the growing demand for accessible and efficient medical services. The integration of digital platforms in healthcare has revolutionized patient-provider interactions, offering convenience, continuity of care, and the potential to bridge geographical barriers. However, despite its promise, telemedicine has also highlighted and, in some cases, exacerbated pre-existing disparities in healthcare access. Health equity remains a fundamental concern, as marginalized populations, including racial and ethnic minorities, individuals with low socioeconomic status, and rural communities, often face significant challenges in accessing digital healthcare services (1-3). Understanding these disparities and addressing the barriers to digital healthcare access is crucial for ensuring equitable health outcomes. The rapid expansion of telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic demonstrated both its potential benefits and its limitations. While telehealth services facilitated uninterrupted medical care during periods of restricted in-person visits, they also exposed systemic inequalities that limit certain populations' ability to utilize these technologies effectively. Digital literacy, broadband access, and socioeconomic factors play a critical role in determining who can benefit from telemedicine. Studies indicate that racial and ethnic minorities, as well as individuals from lower-income backgrounds, are less likely to have access to the necessary technological resources for virtual healthcare, leading to significant disparities in telemedicine utilization (4, 5). These disparities are further compounded by language barriers, lack of culturally competent digital health services, and differing levels of digital health literacy.

Existing research has provided valuable insights into the landscape of telemedicine disparities, but significant knowledge gaps remain. While numerous studies have highlighted the barriers to digital health equity, few have explored the long-term consequences of these disparities on patient outcomes or the effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing inequities (6). Additionally, much of the current literature focuses on high-income countries, leaving the challenges faced by low- and middle-income nations underexplored. The role of systemic racism, institutional policies, and technological design in perpetuating or mitigating these disparities also requires further investigation (7). A more comprehensive approach that includes social determinants of health, healthcare infrastructure, and patient-provider dynamics is essential for addressing these gaps. The objective of this narrative review is to examine the existing disparities in digital healthcare access and to identify strategies for promoting health equity in telemedicine. By synthesizing current evidence, this review aims to elucidate the barriers to equitable telehealth adoption, assess the effectiveness of interventions designed to bridge these gaps, and propose recommendations for future policy and practice. Understanding the multifaceted nature of digital health inequities will provide valuable insights for policymakers, healthcare providers, and technology developers to create more inclusive and effective telemedicine solutions(8).

This review will cover studies published within the past five years, focusing on disparities in telemedicine access among different socioeconomic, racial, and geographic populations. It will analyze both qualitative and quantitative research on digital health equity, examining factors such as broadband access, digital literacy, telemedicine policies, and patient experiences. Additionally, this review will consider the impact of systemic factors such as healthcare reimbursement models, telemedicine platform design, and policy interventions on healthcare accessibility. Studies from diverse healthcare settings, including urban and rural communities, high-income and low-income countries, and varied medical specializations, will be included to provide a comprehensive perspective on the issue(9). Addressing health disparities in telemedicine is essential for ensuring that all individuals have access to high-quality healthcare, regardless of their socioeconomic status or geographic location. By identifying barriers and proposing evidence-based solutions, this review seeks to contribute to the ongoing efforts to achieve digital health equity. Telemedicine should serve as a tool for reducing, rather than exacerbating, healthcare inequalities, and achieving this goal requires a concerted effort from healthcare professionals, policymakers, and technology developers. By highlighting key areas for intervention, this review will provide a roadmap for fostering a more inclusive digital healthcare ecosystem(10).

THEMATIC DISCUSSION (MAIN BODY OF THE REVIEW)

Digital Divide and Socioeconomic Disparities in Telemedicine Access

The expansion of telemedicine has introduced significant challenges regarding equitable healthcare access, with socioeconomic disparities playing a major role in determining digital health accessibility. Socioeconomic status strongly influences telemedicine utilization, as individuals from lower-income backgrounds often lack access to high-speed internet, smart devices, and digital literacy resources, thereby limiting their engagement with telehealth services (1, 5). Evidence indicates that individuals residing in lower-income

communities are significantly less likely to engage in telemedicine due to financial constraints that hinder their ability to afford digital tools or internet services, particularly in underserved rural areas (6). Moreover, insurance coverage discrepancies contribute to inequitable telemedicine access, as private insurance plans frequently offer broader telehealth coverage compared to government-sponsored programs, further deepening disparities among socioeconomically disadvantaged populations (7). While broadband expansion efforts have been proposed to mitigate the digital divide, studies indicate that internet accessibility alone does not resolve disparities in telemedicine adoption. Digital literacy remains a critical determinant, as patients unfamiliar with telehealth platforms often struggle with navigating online medical consultations effectively (4). Older adults and individuals with limited technological proficiency frequently encounter challenges in engaging with digital healthcare services, further perpetuating disparities in telemedicine accessibility (2, 3). Programs designed to enhance digital literacy and provide user-friendly telehealth platforms are essential to fostering greater inclusivity and mitigating these inequities.

Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Telemedicine Utilization

Racial and ethnic minorities disproportionately experience barriers to telemedicine access, exacerbating pre-existing healthcare inequities. Research has consistently shown that Black, Hispanic, and Indigenous populations are less likely to utilize telemedicine services due to systemic barriers such as reduced access to digital technology, language barriers, and historical mistrust in medical institutions (4, 11). A study conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic found that Black patients had 40% lower odds of using telemedicine compared to White patients, even when accounting for socioeconomic and geographic factors (4). Language barriers further contribute to racial disparities in digital healthcare, as non-English speakers often struggle to engage in virtual medical consultations effectively. Many telemedicine platforms lack multilingual support, thereby restricting access for populations with limited English proficiency (5). Additionally, implicit biases within healthcare systems may contribute to racial disparities in telemedicine adoption, as studies indicate that minority patients are less likely to receive provider recommendations for telehealth consultations compared to their White counterparts (2, 3). Addressing these challenges requires culturally competent telehealth services, increased linguistic accessibility, and proactive policies aimed at reducing racial disparities in digital healthcare access.

Geographic and Rural Disparities in Telehealth Adoption

Geographic disparities present another substantial barrier to equitable telemedicine access, particularly in rural and remote regions. Rural populations face higher burdens of chronic disease and reduced healthcare access, yet they remain among the most underserved in terms of telemedicine infrastructure (6). Limited broadband availability significantly restricts telehealth adoption in rural communities, as unreliable internet connections hinder video consultations and limit the effectiveness of remote medical care (7). Furthermore, healthcare provider shortages in rural areas exacerbate disparities, as many rural clinics and hospitals lack the resources to integrate telemedicine into routine care (1). While telemedicine has the potential to bridge these geographic disparities, its effectiveness is contingent upon the development of robust digital infrastructure and policy initiatives aimed at expanding broadband access in rural communities. Studies suggest that telehealth programs tailored to rural populations, including mobile health units and community-based digital literacy initiatives, may help mitigate these disparities and improve healthcare accessibility in remote areas (5).

Technological and Policy Barriers in Telemedicine Implementation

Despite the rapid adoption of telemedicine, various technological and policy-related barriers continue to limit its widespread implementation. Technological barriers, including inconsistent platform usability, data security concerns, and interoperability issues, pose significant challenges for both patients and providers (7). Many telemedicine platforms lack standardized interfaces, making it difficult for healthcare systems to integrate telehealth services seamlessly into existing electronic medical record systems. This lack of interoperability contributes to fragmented care and limits the effectiveness of telemedicine as a long-term healthcare solution (6). Policy barriers also play a crucial role in determining telemedicine accessibility, particularly regarding insurance reimbursement and licensure restrictions. Telehealth reimbursement policies vary widely across different insurance providers, leading to inconsistent coverage and financial burdens for patients (11). Additionally, state-specific licensing requirements create administrative challenges for healthcare providers seeking to deliver virtual care across state lines, further limiting telemedicine's scalability (1). Addressing these policy barriers requires coordinated efforts from policymakers, healthcare organizations, and regulatory bodies to develop standardized reimbursement frameworks and streamline licensing regulations to facilitate broader telemedicine adoption.

Gaps in Research and Future Directions

Although substantial progress has been made in understanding telemedicine disparities, several critical research gaps remain. Existing studies have primarily focused on the short-term impact of telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic, leaving long-term trends in digital healthcare equity underexplored (4). Further research is needed to assess the sustained impact of telemedicine on healthcare disparities, particularly in relation to chronic disease management and preventive care. Additionally, while multiple studies highlight the barriers to telemedicine adoption, fewer investigations have evaluated the effectiveness of specific interventions aimed at reducing digital health inequities (2, 3). Future research should prioritize longitudinal studies examining the long-term health outcomes associated with telemedicine use among marginalized populations. Additionally, studies assessing the effectiveness of community-based digital literacy programs, culturally tailored telehealth interventions, and policy reforms will be crucial in shaping the future of equitable digital healthcare. By addressing these gaps, healthcare systems can develop more comprehensive strategies to ensure that telemedicine serves as an inclusive and accessible healthcare solution for all populations.

Critical Analysis and Limitations

The existing literature on health equity in telemedicine highlights significant disparities in digital healthcare access; however, several methodological limitations and biases must be considered when interpreting these findings. Many studies assessing telemedicine disparities rely on cross-sectional or observational designs, which, while valuable for identifying patterns and associations, cannot establish causal relationships. The absence of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) limits the ability to draw definitive conclusions regarding the effectiveness of interventions aimed at reducing digital health disparities. Additionally, small sample sizes and regional studies further constrain the generalizability of findings, as the digital divide manifests differently across diverse populations and healthcare settings (1-3). The reliance on self-reported data in many studies introduces potential biases, as individuals' perceptions of digital barriers may not always align with objective measures of access and literacy(10). Methodological biases also complicate the interpretation of existing research. Selection bias is a prevalent concern, as many studies disproportionately focus on urban populations or patients already engaged in the healthcare system, thereby underrepresenting the most vulnerable groups, such as rural residents and those entirely disconnected from digital health services (4). Performance bias may also arise in studies evaluating telehealth interventions, as differences in provider engagement and patient familiarity with digital platforms can influence outcomes. The lack of standardized methodologies across studies makes it challenging to compare findings, as definitions of telemedicine access, utilization, and barriers vary widely across different research frameworks (6).

Publication bias further distorts the existing body of evidence, as studies demonstrating significant disparities or interventions with positive outcomes are more likely to be published than those reporting inconclusive or negative results. This selective reporting can lead to an overestimation of the efficacy of telemedicine initiatives in addressing health equity, while potentially overlooking unintended consequences, such as exacerbating disparities among digitally marginalized populations (5). The absence of long-term studies examining the sustained impact of digital health interventions also presents a significant gap in the literature, limiting insights into whether current strategies are truly effective in reducing disparities over time(12). Variability in measurement outcomes poses another challenge in synthesizing findings from different studies. Disparities in telemedicine adoption are assessed using diverse indicators, including broadband access, digital literacy scores, appointment completion rates, and patient-reported experiences, making it difficult to establish a standardized benchmark for evaluating progress (7). Furthermore, studies often fail to account for the intersectionality of social determinants of health, such as education, disability status, and housing instability, which collectively influence telemedicine accessibility in complex ways. Without a more nuanced approach to measuring digital health disparities, interventions may inadequately address the full spectrum of barriers faced by underserved populations(13).

The generalizability of existing research is another critical limitation, as many studies are conducted within specific healthcare systems or geographic regions, restricting their applicability to broader populations. While findings from high-income countries provide valuable insights, they may not reflect the unique challenges faced by low- and middle-income countries, where infrastructure limitations, policy constraints, and economic factors create distinct barriers to telemedicine adoption (11). Moreover, studies focusing on single racial or ethnic groups fail to capture the full complexity of digital health disparities, as intersectional factors such as immigration status and cultural perceptions of telemedicine further shape healthcare access (2, 3). Addressing these limitations requires a more rigorous approach to future research, including the implementation of large-scale, longitudinal studies with diverse and representative populations. The use of mixed-methods research, combining quantitative data with qualitative insights from patients and providers, could enhance the understanding of real-world barriers and inform more effective policy solutions. Additionally, standardized metrics for assessing telemedicine disparities should be developed to ensure consistency across studies and facilitate meaningful comparisons.

By refining methodologies and expanding the scope of research, the field can move toward a more comprehensive and equitable approach to digital healthcare access(14).

Implications and Future Directions

The findings of this review have significant implications for clinical practice, policy-making, and future research. In clinical settings, addressing disparities in telemedicine access is crucial for ensuring equitable patient care. Healthcare providers must recognize the barriers faced by socioeconomically disadvantaged patients and implement targeted strategies to improve digital literacy and accessibility. Integrating telemedicine training into routine patient education can enhance engagement among populations with limited technological proficiency. Additionally, expanding multilingual support and culturally tailored digital health services can help bridge the gap for racial and ethnic minorities who face language-related barriers in telehealth consultations (2-4). Clinicians should also advocate for telehealth platforms that are user-friendly and accessible to patients with disabilities, ensuring that digital healthcare does not inadvertently exclude vulnerable groups(11, 15). From a policy perspective, the disparities identified in this review highlight the need for comprehensive guidelines and regulatory frameworks to promote digital health equity. Standardized telemedicine reimbursement policies across insurance providers are essential to prevent financial barriers from limiting access to care. Policies aimed at expanding broadband infrastructure, particularly in rural and underserved areas, are equally critical for ensuring that all patients can benefit from telehealth services (5). Furthermore, cross-state licensure regulations should be revisited to facilitate broader access to virtual care, allowing healthcare providers to deliver services across geographic boundaries without unnecessary restrictions. Government and healthcare institutions must also invest in community-based telehealth initiatives that address structural determinants of digital health access, such as providing subsidized internet access and distributing low-cost digital devices to patients in need (6).

Despite growing recognition of digital health disparities, several unanswered questions remain, necessitating further research. The long-term impact of telemedicine on health outcomes among digitally marginalized populations is not yet fully understood, as most existing studies focus on short-term utilization trends rather than sustained engagement and its clinical benefits (1). Future studies should explore whether improved telemedicine access translates into better disease management, reduced healthcare costs, and improved patient satisfaction over time. Additionally, little is known about the effectiveness of various interventions designed to enhance digital health equity, such as telemedicine navigators, digital literacy programs, and financial assistance initiatives. Comparative studies evaluating the success of different approaches can provide valuable insights into the most effective strategies for reducing disparities (7). Methodological improvements in future research are essential to generate more robust evidence on telemedicine disparities and potential solutions. Large-scale, longitudinal studies with diverse, representative populations are needed to capture the full spectrum of digital healthcare access challenges. Randomized controlled trials assessing the impact of digital health interventions on clinical outcomes can provide stronger evidence for the effectiveness of targeted telehealth policies (11). Additionally, mixed-methods research incorporating qualitative patient perspectives can offer a more comprehensive understanding of the real-world experiences and barriers faced by underserved communities. Standardized measures for assessing digital health disparities should also be developed to ensure consistency across studies and facilitate meaningful comparisons.

A coordinated effort involving healthcare providers, policymakers, and researchers is necessary to create a telemedicine landscape that prioritizes equity. By addressing digital health disparities through targeted interventions, inclusive policies, and rigorous research, the healthcare system can ensure that telemedicine serves as a tool for enhancing, rather than exacerbating, healthcare access. Future research and policy initiatives must continue to evolve alongside technological advancements to build a more inclusive digital healthcare ecosystem that benefits all individuals, regardless of socioeconomic status, geographic location, or digital literacy level(16).

CONCLUSION

The review highlights significant disparities in telemedicine access, primarily influenced by socioeconomic status, geographic location, digital literacy, and racial and ethnic backgrounds. While telemedicine has the potential to improve healthcare accessibility, its benefits remain unevenly distributed, disproportionately affecting marginalized populations. The existing literature provides valuable insights into these disparities, yet methodological limitations, including selection bias, small sample sizes, and a lack of randomized controlled trials, limit the strength of current evidence. Addressing these inequities requires a multifaceted approach that includes expanding broadband access, enhancing digital literacy programs, implementing culturally competent telehealth services, and refining telemedicine reimbursement policies. Clinicians should proactively integrate digital health education into patient care, ensuring that vulnerable populations can effectively engage with telehealth services. Researchers must focus on longitudinal studies and standardized methodologies to assess the long-term impact of telemedicine interventions on health outcomes. Given the rapid evolution of digital

healthcare, further research is essential to develop targeted, evidence-based solutions that promote equitable access to telemedicine for all individuals.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Author	Contribution
Akif Saeed Ch*	Substantial Contribution to study design, analysis, acquisition of Data Manuscript Writing Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Fouzia Pervaiz	Substantial Contribution to study design, acquisition and interpretation of Data Critical Review and Manuscript Writing Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Hammd Nawaz	Substantial Contribution to acquisition and interpretation of Data Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Sadia Rafiq	Contributed to Data Collection and Analysis Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Yasmeen Bibi	Contributed to Data Collection and Analysis Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Wesam Taher Almagharbeh	Substantial Contribution to study design and Data Analysis Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Muhammad Mobeen	Substantial Contribution to study design and Data Analysis Has given Final Approval of the version to be published

REFERENCES

1. Petretto DR, Carrogu GP, Gaviano L, Berti R, Pinna M, Petretto AD, et al. Telemedicine, e-Health, and Digital Health Equity: A Scoping Review. Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health. 2024;20:e17450179279732.

2. Lyles CR, Sharma AE, Fields JD, Getachew Y, Sarkar U, Zephyrin L. Centering Health Equity in Telemedicine. Ann Fam Med. 2022;20(4):362-7.

3. Lyles CR, Wachter RM, Sarkar U. Focusing on Digital Health Equity. Jama. 2021;326(18):1795-6.

4. Chunara R, Zhao Y, Chen J, Lawrence K, Testa PA, Nov O, et al. Telemedicine and healthcare disparities: a cohort study in a large healthcare system in New York City during COVID-19. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2021;28(1):33-41.

5. Lau J, Knudsen J. Reducing Disparities In Telemedicine: An Equity-Focused, Public Health Approach. Health Aff (Millwood). 2022;41(5):647-50.

6. Bhoyar A, Vagha S, Mishra V, Agrawal MS, Kambala SR. Addressing the Digital Divide in Health Education: A Systematic Review. Cureus. 2024;16(9):e70048.

7. Samuels-Kalow M, Jaffe T, Zachrison K. Digital disparities: designing telemedicine systems with a health equity aim. Emerg Med J. 2021;38(6):474-6.

8. Al Rifai M, Shapiro MD, Sayani S, Gulati M, Levine G, Rodriguez F, et al. Racial and Geographic Disparities in Internet Use in the United States Among Patients with Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Disease. Am J Cardiol. 2020;134:146-7.

9. Benjenk I, Franzini L, Roby D, Chen J. Disparities in Audio-only Telemedicine Use Among Medicare Beneficiaries During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. Med Care. 2021;59(11):1014-22.

10. Falvey JR, Sun N, Miller MJ, Pravdo A, Mullins CD. Demystifying the Digital Divide: Disparities in Telerehabilitation Readiness Among Older Adults in the United States. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2024;105(7):1255-61.

11. Ortega G, Rodriguez JA, Maurer LR, Witt EE, Perez N, Reich A, et al. Telemedicine, COVID-19, and disparities: Policy implications. Health Policy Technol. 2020;9(3):368-71.

12. Hadeler EK, Beer J, Nouri K. Teledermatology: Improving Access or Widening Healthcare Disparities? J Drugs Dermatol. 2020;19(12):1248.

13. Menendez ME, Moverman MA, Puzzitiello RN, Pagani NR, Ring D. The Telehealth Paradox in the Neediest Patients. J Natl Med Assoc. 2021;113(3):351-2.

14. Ng BP, Park C. Accessibility of Telehealth Services During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Cross-Sectional Survey of Medicare Beneficiaries. Prev Chronic Dis. 2021;18:E65.

15. Rodriguez JA, Clark CR, Bates DW. Digital Health Equity as a Necessity in the 21st Century Cures Act Era. Jama. 2020;323(23):2381-2.

16. Wang LY, Low TT, Yeo TJ. Telehealth in COVID-19 and Cardiovascular Disease-Ensuring Equitable Care. Ann Acad Med Singap. 2020;49(11):902-4.

