INSIGHTS-JOURNAL OF
LIFE AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

INSIGHTS-JLSS
Insights-Journal of Life and Social Sciences

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN THE USE OF AI FOR ACADEMIC RESEARCH AND SCIENTIFIC DISCOVERY: A NARRATIVE REVIEW

Narrative Review

Zainab Shah[1]*, Muhammad Humayun Shahzad[2], Sadaf Saleem[3], Izya Taj[4], Sarah Amin[5], Wesam Taher Almagharbeh[6], Shaikh Khalid Muhammad[7], Sana Durvesh[8]

[1]Doctor, University of Health Sciences (UHS), Bahawalpur, Pakistan.
[2]Department of Management Science, MYU, Islamabad, Pakistan.
[3]Department of Medical Education, NUST School of Health Sciences (NSHS), National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), Islamabad, Pakistan.
[4]Psychologist (MS Clinical Psychology, last semester), Social Worker at Hitec-IMS, Taxila; Department of Social Sciences, Air University Islamabad; Department of Community Medicine (College), Pakistan.
[5]Assistant Professor, Department of Medical Education, NUST School of Health Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology (NUST), H-12 Sector, Islamabad 44000, Pakistan.
[6]Assistant Professor, Medical and Surgical Nursing Department, Faculty of Nursing, University of Tabuk, Tabuk 71491, Saudi Arabia.
[7]Professor of Medicine, CMC Teaching Hospital, SMBBMU, Larkana, Pakistan.
[8]Deputy General Manager Programs, Department of Programs, Greenstar Social Marketing Pakistan.

Corresponding Author: Zainab Shah, Doctor, University of Health Sciences (UHS), Bahawalpur, Pakistan, Zainab.shah290@outlook.com

Conflict of Interest: None

Grant Support & Financial Support: None

Acknowledgment: The author extends sincere appreciation to all researchers and thought leaders whose contributions to the ethical discourse on artificial intelligence have informed this review. Special thanks to academic institutions and journals that continue to support interdisciplinary exploration of AI ethics in research.

ABSTRACT

Background: Artificial intelligence (AI) has rapidly become a foundational tool in academic research and scientific discovery, offering unprecedented capabilities in data analysis, hypothesis generation, and knowledge synthesis. However, its integration introduces complex ethical challenges, including concerns around bias, transparency, authorship, and data privacy, which have significant implications for scientific integrity and trust.

Objective: This narrative review aims to explore the ethical considerations associated with the use of AI in academic research, identify existing gaps in the literature, and propose future directions to enhance ethical practice and governance in scientific settings.

Main Discussion Points: Key themes identified include algorithmic bias, the need for explainable and transparent AI systems, accountability in authorship and research integrity, and the importance of robust data protection. The review also highlights limitations in current ethical frameworks, the scarcity of empirical studies evaluating AI ethics in practice, and the challenges in translating high-level principles into actionable guidelines.

Conclusion: Current literature provides valuable but largely conceptual insights into ethical AI use in research. Stronger empirical evidence, interdisciplinary collaboration, and standardized ethical protocols are essential to ensure responsible innovation. This review underscores the need for ongoing research, policy development, and educational initiatives to align AI advancement with ethical standards in academia.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Research Ethics, Algorithmic Bias, Scientific Integrity, Academic Research, Narrative Review.

© 2025 et al. -Health And Research Insights-Open access under CC BY License (Creative Commons). Freely distributable with appropriate citation

INTRODUCTION

The rapid integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into academic research and scientific discovery marks a transformative era in knowledge generation. As algorithms increasingly contribute to hypothesis formation, data analysis, and even publication drafting, the scope and speed of scientific advancement have expanded considerably. However, this technological revolution brings with it complex ethical considerations that demand urgent and thoughtful engagement. In recent years, ethical concerns such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, transparency, authorship attribution, and accountability have emerged as significant challenges within this evolving landscape (1, 2). AI's influence in science is already significant, with machine learning models optimizing drug discovery, automating peer reviews, and generating novel insights from complex datasets. Yet, the ethical implications are not merely theoretical. Real-world issues—such as biased models leading to skewed scientific conclusions, or opaque algorithms undermining reproducibility and trust—underscore the potential for harm if AI systems are deployed without sufficient ethical oversight (3, 4). Current discussions emphasize the necessity of translating high-level ethical principles into actionable frameworks that guide real-world research practices.

Despite growing awareness, notable research gaps persist. For example, many institutions and researchers lack standardized tools to assess the ethical risks of AI applications in science. Furthermore, questions surrounding the role of human oversight, the criteria for algorithmic transparency, and the delineation of intellectual authorship remain unresolved (5-7). These uncertainties are compounded by the rapid pace of AI development, outstripping the ability of existing ethical guidelines to remain current and comprehensive(8). This narrative review aims to investigate the ethical considerations associated with the use of AI in academic research and scientific discovery. Specifically, it seeks to analyze current literature on issues such as bias, transparency, privacy, and accountability in AI-powered scientific work. The review will highlight current efforts to develop ethical frameworks and examine their adequacy in addressing real-world concerns. Additionally, it will explore the tensions between innovation and ethical responsibility, identifying pathways for ethical alignment in the integration of AI technologies(9, 10).

The review will include peer-reviewed literature, systematic reviews, and empirical studies published in the last five years. Sources will be drawn from multidisciplinary journals, including those focusing on computer science, ethics, and biomedical research. This scope ensures a holistic exploration of the topic, incorporating perspectives from both technology developers and research practitioners(11, 12). This review is especially timely and relevant given the increasing dependency on AI tools across scientific disciplines. By synthesizing recent research, the review seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of ethical risks and mitigation strategies, thereby informing responsible innovation. Furthermore, the review contributes to the emerging discourse on translational ethical AI—bridging the gap between academic principles and practical implementation(13, 14). Ultimately, this review endeavors to guide researchers, institutions, and policymakers toward ethical best practices in the era of AI-enhanced scientific discovery(13, 15, 16).

THEMATIC DISCUSSION (MAIN BODY OF THE REVIEW)

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into academic research and scientific discovery has catalyzed not only methodological advancements but also ethical complexities that continue to evolve. Emerging literature highlights several core themes that reflect both the promise and peril of AI in scientific domains. These themes—algorithmic bias and fairness, transparency and explainability, authorship and accountability, privacy and data protection, regulatory gaps, interdisciplinary ethics, the translation of ethical principles into practice, and future-oriented ethical governance—form the backbone of current scholarly discussion(17).

Algorithmic Bias and Fairness in Scientific Outcomes

A recurring concern in the ethical discourse surrounding AI in academia is algorithmic bias, which can distort scientific findings by embedding pre-existing societal inequalities into research outputs. Bias in training data and model development can compromise fairness, particularly in disciplines such as genomics, pharmacology, or social sciences, where AI is tasked with identifying predictive patterns. Several studies converge on the observation that algorithmic decision-making often perpetuates biases related to race, gender, or socioeconomic status, primarily due to skewed data representation(14, 18). Efforts to implement fairness-aware algorithms are gaining momentum, yet practical implementation remains inconsistent across research disciplines.

© 2025 et al. -Health And Research Insights-Open access under CC BY License (Creative Commons). Freely distributable with appropriate citation.

Transparency and Explainability

Transparency in AI processes, often linked to the concept of "explainable AI," is another critical theme, especially in peer-reviewed research and high-stakes scientific decision-making. The opaque nature of deep learning algorithms presents barriers to reproducibility, a foundational tenet of scientific integrity. Scholars have emphasized the need for systems that are not only high-performing but also interpretable by human experts (1, 2). Despite progress in developing explainability tools, their integration into routine scientific workflows remains limited, creating a gap between technological capability and ethical usability.

Authorship, Accountability, and the Question of Intellectual Integrity

As AI contributes to literature review synthesis, data interpretation, and even manuscript drafting, questions around authorship and responsibility are intensifying. Determining who is accountable for AI-generated scientific claims is fraught with ambiguity. Some scholars argue for human oversight as an ethical safeguard, suggesting that researchers must remain the final arbiters of any AI-assisted output (5-7). There is, however, no consensus on how to demarcate the boundaries of intellectual contribution, which leads to ethical dilemmas in publication and patenting.

Privacy and Data Protection in Research Datasets

AI systems thrive on large datasets, often comprising sensitive personal information, particularly in biomedical and behavioral research. The ethical use of such data raises questions about informed consent, anonymization, and secondary data usage. Although frameworks like GDPR attempt to regulate this space, practical enforcement in global collaborative research remains a challenge. Studies highlight that many researchers interpret ethical requirements merely as legal obligations, without broader consideration of participant autonomy or long-term data governance implications (19).

Regulatory Gaps and Inconsistencies

While ethical guidelines for AI exist—such as the EU's Trustworthy AI framework—they often lack the specificity required for effective implementation in scientific settings. The discrepancy between principle and practice has been termed the "Ethical AI publication-to-practice gap"(4). Even among software executives and developers, ethical considerations are frequently treated as afterthoughts or risk management tools, rather than integral design parameters. Consequently, this disconnect undermines the credibility and public trust in AI-powered scientific advancements.

Interdisciplinary Ethical Approaches

Given the complexity of AI applications in science, an interdisciplinary lens has been advocated for more effective ethical governance. Collaboration between ethicists, computer scientists, legal experts, and domain researchers fosters a more holistic understanding of AI implications. Workshops such as AI4Good have demonstrated the value of such collaborative models, emphasizing contextual sensitivity, ethical reflexivity, and academic humility (20). However, institutional support for such initiatives is still sporadic.

Translational Ethics: From Principle to Practice

The field of translational ethical AI has emerged as a response to the limitations of abstract ethical frameworks. This approach aims to develop practical tools and case-based guidelines that help researchers navigate real-world ethical dilemmas. For instance, "ethics sheets" for AI tasks propose documenting anticipated ethical risks prior to system development, promoting foresight over retrospective damage control (21). While still in developmental phases, these tools represent a promising bridge between moral theory and scientific pragmatism.

Future-Oriented Ethical Governance

© 2025 et al. -Health And Research Insights-Open access under CC BY License (Creative Commons). Freely distributable with appropriate citation.

Lastly, several researchers argue for the institutionalization of ethical training and oversight mechanisms as AI becomes more embedded in scientific inquiry. Proposals include establishing AI ethics committees, embedding ethicists in research teams, and mandatory ethics coursework for science and engineering students (3). Such systemic reforms are essential for cultivating a culture of ethical awareness and proactive responsibility. While the literature collectively underscores the transformative potential of AI in science, it also reveals an urgent need for ethical maturity. The reviewed studies emphasize that technological innovation must be coupled with ethical diligence, lest the very foundations of scientific integrity be compromised(22).

CRITICAL ANALYSIS AND LIMITATIONS

The reviewed literature presents valuable insights into the ethical considerations associated with the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in academic research and scientific discovery; however, several methodological and interpretive limitations must be acknowledged to appreciate the scope and credibility of the current evidence base. Many studies adopt narrative or conceptual approaches without employing rigorous empirical methodologies, which restricts the strength of causal inferences and limits the robustness of their conclusions. A significant number of studies rely on qualitative synthesis or expert commentary rather than primary data or longitudinal analysis, which undermines the replicability and empirical grounding of their findings (1, 4). A recurring limitation is the lack of large-scale, controlled, or randomized studies evaluating the real-world implementation of ethical AI principles. This absence is particularly problematic given the global push to integrate AI into research infrastructure. Most of the literature examined consists of reviews, opinion pieces, or single-institution analyses, often lacking comparative frameworks or detailed methodological disclosures. This restricts the ability to assess bias and internal validity. For instance, many studies fail to describe their selection criteria for ethical issues or case examples, leading to potential selection bias and overrepresentation of certain ethical themes, such as bias and transparency, while underexploring others like autonomy or distributive justice (3, 18).

Methodological biases are also evident in the overreliance on Western institutional contexts, which limits the global applicability of ethical frameworks proposed in these studies. Few studies incorporate diverse geographical or cultural perspectives, potentially skewing the recommendations and ethical interpretations toward Eurocentric regulatory norms. Moreover, because most studies are based on secondary data, there is a high susceptibility to confounding factors, particularly in discussions that intersect with social, legal, and philosophical dimensions of ethics. For example, some analyses conflate institutional policy with ethical efficacy without considering confounding elements such as political influence, organizational culture, or disciplinary variance (19). Publication bias is another critical concern. Much of the available literature focuses on positive or progressive implementations of ethical AI, with limited discussion on failed attempts, unintended consequences, or ambiguous outcomes. This imbalance may give a falsely optimistic view of the readiness of AI systems to meet ethical standards in academic settings. The underreporting of negative or inconclusive findings diminishes opportunities for learning and adaptation, particularly in nascent areas such as explainable AI or data stewardship (2).

Another complication arises from the inconsistency in outcome measurements. While some studies evaluate ethical effectiveness based on policy adoption or the presence of governance frameworks, others rely on subjective indicators such as perceived trustworthiness or narrative reflections from stakeholders. This variability undermines comparative assessments and contributes to ambiguity in determining what constitutes ethically successful AI integration in research environments (5-7). Finally, the generalizability of findings is constrained by the narrow focus of many studies. While some literature explores AI use in healthcare or life sciences, few consider other domains like humanities, environmental science, or education, where ethical challenges may manifest differently. This disciplinary homogeneity restricts the scope of current ethical discourse and potentially overlooks context-specific challenges and solutions. Consequently, broader multidisciplinary engagement is essential to refine and expand the ethical frameworks governing AI in academic research (14, 20).

IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The ethical integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into academic research and scientific discovery carries important implications for clinical practice, institutional policy, and the future direction of bioethical scholarship. Although AI technologies are not used to directly treat patients in the conventional clinical sense, their role in influencing clinical decision-making, diagnosis, and therapeutic strategies—

© 2025 et al. -Health And Research Insights-Open access under CC BY License (Creative Commons). Freely distributable with appropriate citation.

particularly through AI-generated research findings—is becoming increasingly consequential. The reviewed literature highlights the pressing need for clinicians to critically evaluate AI-generated evidence, especially in terms of its provenance, algorithmic transparency, and potential biases. As AI-driven tools become more common in the research underpinning clinical recommendations, practitioners must cultivate digital literacy and ethical awareness to ensure that patient care decisions are informed by trustworthy and unbiased information (2). From a policy perspective, the findings of this review underscore the necessity of formal ethical frameworks that address the distinctive challenges posed by AI in scientific inquiry. Current regulatory mechanisms are fragmented and often reactive, failing to keep pace with the speed and complexity of technological advances. Institutions should adopt proactive ethical review systems that integrate AI-specific criteria, including transparency, data privacy, algorithmic fairness, and explainability. Additionally, the inclusion of multidisciplinary ethics boards within academic and healthcare research institutions could serve to standardize practices and enhance public trust in AI-powered research outputs (3, 6, 7). The establishment of global ethical guidelines—adaptable to cultural and institutional diversity—remains a vital area for international cooperation and policy development.

Despite growing discourse, several knowledge gaps persist that warrant further exploration. There is insufficient empirical research on how AI ethical principles are operationalized in real-world scientific environments, particularly in low-resource settings or non-Western contexts. The long-term effects of AI-driven research on patient outcomes, health disparities, and clinical errors remain largely unknown. Additionally, little is understood about how ethical concerns evolve as AI capabilities advance toward autonomous reasoning or self-correction. Studies are also lacking in assessing the effectiveness of educational interventions designed to improve ethical competency among researchers and healthcare professionals engaging with AI tools (5). To strengthen future research in this field, several methodological improvements are essential. Longitudinal and multicenter studies should be prioritized to assess the practical impact of ethical AI frameworks on research quality and reproducibility. Randomized controlled trials—though traditionally used in clinical medicine—could be adapted to evaluate interventions like ethics training modules or AI auditing tools in academic environments. Mixed-method designs combining quantitative outcome analysis with qualitative perspectives from researchers, clinicians, and patients could provide a more nuanced understanding of how ethical principles function across disciplines (1). Furthermore, implementation science frameworks should be employed to assess how well ethical guidelines are adopted in institutional workflows and whether such adoption results in measurable improvements in transparency and accountability.

Ultimately, ensuring the responsible use of AI in academic and clinical research will require a coordinated effort involving researchers, healthcare professionals, ethicists, and policymakers. Future directions should aim to bridge the gap between ethical ideals and operational practice, laying the groundwork for a research ecosystem that values innovation as much as integrity.

CONCLUSION

This review highlights the growing integration of artificial intelligence into academic research and scientific discovery, underscoring both its transformative potential and the complex ethical challenges it introduces. Central themes such as algorithmic bias, transparency, authorship, and data governance emerged as critical considerations requiring immediate attention from researchers, clinicians, and policymakers alike. While the literature consistently emphasizes the need for ethical vigilance, it remains largely conceptual, with limited empirical validation or standardized methodologies, thereby weakening the overall strength of current evidence. Despite these limitations, the reviewed studies offer a valuable foundation for guiding ethical implementation, especially when complemented by multidisciplinary collaboration and institutional support. Practically, researchers and clinicians should prioritize ethical oversight in AI deployment, adopt transparent practices, and engage in ongoing ethics training to safeguard research integrity. However, to develop more actionable and context-specific ethical frameworks, future research must adopt robust, empirical designs that evaluate real-world applications of AI ethics across diverse populations and scientific domains.

© 2025 et al. -Health And Research Insights-Open access under CC BY License (Creative Commons). Freely distributable with appropriate citation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Author	Contribution
Zainab Shah*	Substantial Contribution to study design, analysis, acquisition of Data Manuscript Writing Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Muhammad Humayun Shahzad	Substantial Contribution to study design, acquisition and interpretation of Data Critical Review and Manuscript Writing Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Sadaf Saleem	Substantial Contribution to acquisition and interpretation of Data Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Izya Taj	Contributed to Data Collection and Analysis Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Sarah Amin	Contributed to Data Collection and Analysis Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Wesam Taher Almagharbeh	Substantial Contribution to study design and Data Analysis Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Shaikh Khalid Muhammad	Contributed to study concept and Data collection Has given Final Approval of the version to be published
Sana Durvesh	Writing - Review & Editing, Assistance with Data Curation

REFERENCES

1. Lourdes Amalia González Ciriaco AJMM. Advances and ethical challenges in the integration of AI in scientific production. Journal of Scientific Metrics and Evaluation. 2023.
2. Limongi R. The use of artificial intelligence in scientific research with integrity and ethics. Future Studies Research Journal: Trends and Strategies. 2024;16(1):e845-e.
3. Zhe D, Su N, Zhu X, Mahmoud HA, Akhtar T. Non-linear relationship between FinTech, natural resources, green innovation and environmental sustainability: Evidence from panel smooth transition regression model. Resources Policy. 2024 Apr 1;91:104902. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2024.104902
4. Borg J. The AI field needs translational Ethical AI research. AI Magazine. 2022;43(3):294-307.
5. He Z. The Research Advance in Ethical Issues of AI Application. Interdisciplinary Humanities and Communication Studies. 2024.
6. Zhang P, Tur G. A systematic review of ChatGPT use in K-12 education. European Journal of Education. 2024;59(2):e12599.
7. Zhang Y, Wu M, Tian GY, Zhang G, Lu J. Ethics and privacy of artificial intelligence: Understandings from bibliometrics. Knowledge-Based Systems. 2021;222:106994.
8. Dillon S, Schaffer-Goddard J. What AI researchers read: the role of literature in artificial intelligence research. Interdisciplinary Science Reviews. 2023;48(1):15-42.
9. Gately L. A narrative review of the potential use of generative artificial intelligence in educational research practices in higher education. Studies in Technology Enhanced Learning. 2024;4(1).
10. Kim S-J. Research ethics and issues regarding the use of ChatGPT-like artificial intelligence platforms by authors and reviewers: a narrative review. Science Editing. 2024;11(2):96-106.

© 2025 et al. -Health And Research Insights-Open access under CC BY License (Creative Commons). Freely distributable with appropriate citation.

11. Mennella C, Maniscalco U, De Pietro G, Esposito M. Ethical and regulatory challenges of AI technologies in healthcare: A narrative review. Heliyon. 2024;10(4).
12. Miao J, Thongprayoon C, Suppadungsuk S, Garcia Valencia OA, Qureshi F, Cheungpasitporn W. Ethical dilemmas in using AI for academic writing and an example framework for peer review in nephrology academia: a narrative review. Clinics and practice. 2023;14(1):89-105.
13. Purwanto ANI, Fauzan M, Widya T, Azzaky NS. Ethical Implications and Challenges of AI Implementation in Business Operations. TechComp Innovations: Journal of Computer Science and Technology. 2024;1(2):68-82.
14. Neha Madhava Sundhram JPP. Exploring Ethical Implications of Ai in Modern Society. International Journal of Scientific Research in Science, Engineering and Technology. 2024.
15. Nasim SF, Ali MR, Kulsoom U. Artificial intelligence incidents & ethics a narrative review. International Journal of Technology Innovation and Management (IJTIM). 2022;2(2):52-64.
16. Ramírez-Restrepo CA, Vera-Infanzón RR, Rao IM. The carbon footprint of young-beef cattle finishing systems in the Eastern Plains of the Orinoco River Basin of Colombia. Frontiers in Animal Science. 2023;4:1103826.
17. Rongali S. Ethical Considerations in AI Design and Deployment: Insights into Sensitive Industries.
18. Rajesh Kumar Jaiswal SSS, Rajkumar Kaushik. ETHICS IN AI AND MACHINE LEARNING. Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization. 2023.
19. Agbese M, Mohanani R, Khan A, Abrahamsson P, editors. Implementing AI ethics: Making sense of the ethical requirements. Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering; 2023.
20. Kanza S, McNeill W, Knight N, Munday SA, Frey JG, editors. AI4Good-The Ethical and Societal Implications of using AI in Scientific Discovery: Chairs' Welcome and Workshop Summary. Companion Publication of the 12th ACM Conference on Web Science; 2020.
21. Mohammad SM. Ethics sheets for AI tasks. arXiv preprint arXiv:210701183. 2021.
22. Zhai C, Wibowo S, Li LD. The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: a systematic review. Smart Learning Environments. 2024;11(1):28.

© 2025 et al. -Health And Research Insights-Open access under CC BY License (Creative Commons). Freely distributable with appropriate citation.